Identifying masked superior oblique involvement in thyroid eye disease to avoid postoperative A-pattern exotropia and intorsion
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PURPOSE
To report masked superior oblique muscle tightness as a possible mechanism causing A-pattern exotropia with intorsion after inferior rectus muscle recession in the context of thyroid eye disease.

METHODS
Three patients with thyroid eye disease and involvement of the superior oblique muscle are presented, along with a fourth comparison case without superior oblique muscle involvement. Intraoperative torsion assessment and exaggerated traction testing were performed after detachment of the involved rectus muscles. A surgical procedure involving recession of tight superior oblique muscle(s) when recessing inferior rectus muscle(s) is presented, along with surgical results.

RESULTS
The first case illustrated the problem of A-pattern exotropia and intorsion after inferior rectus muscle recessions and subsequent treatment with superior oblique tendon recessions. Patients 2 and 3 demonstrated signs of coexisting inferior rectus muscle involvement and superior oblique muscle involvement both preoperatively and intraoperatively, with a tight superior oblique muscle and marked intorsion, suggesting the need for superior oblique tendon recession at the time of inferior rectus recession. Postoperatively there was no symptomatic intorsion or A-pattern exotropia and both patients were heterophoric distance and near, with only rare diplopia. The fourth case, without superior oblique involvement, illustrated management with inferior rectus muscle recessions alone.

CONCLUSIONS
Superior oblique muscle involvement may be masked by coexistent inferior rectus muscle involvement and if not identified and addressed at the time of the first surgery may result in symptomatic intorsion and A-pattern exotropia. The clinical finding of minimal extorsion, or frank intorsion, in the presence of a tight inferior rectus muscle, may be an important sign of masked superior oblique muscle tightness. Intraoperative assessment of torsion and superior oblique tension may also help identify patients at risk. Superior oblique tendon recession, at the time of inferior rectus muscle recession, prevented development of a postoperative A-pattern exotropia and intorsion. (J AAPOS 2012;16:280-285)

A-pattern exotropia with intorsion may develop after inferior rectus muscle recession in the context of thyroid eye disease1-5 and has previously been thought to be caused by the secondary effects of inferior rectus muscle weakening, which in turn results in superior oblique muscle overaction.1,5 In contrast, on the basis of our recent clinical experience, we speculated that A-pattern exotropia and intorsion may be attributable to masked superior oblique muscle tightness in some cases. The purpose of this study is to present case examples of A-pattern exotropia and intorsion caused by masked superior oblique tightness in thyroid eye disease, to review the results of preoperative and intraoperative testing designed to detect the condition, and to present the results of surgery on the basis of these findings.

Patients and Methods
Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board approval was obtained, and all data were collected in a manner compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.

Patient 1
A 50-year-old woman presented with constant diplopia after bilateral orbital decompression surgery for thyroid eye disease. Her primary position deviation at distance fixation measured
50° of esotropia and 10° of left hypotropia by prism and alternate cover test (PACT). Double Maddox rod testing showed 3° of extorsion. On synoptophore evaluation, torsion correction was not needed to achieve fusion, and torsion fusional amplitudes were balanced (12° extorsion to 14° intorsion). On ocular motility assessment there were bilateral, symmetric limitations of abduction in each eye and bilateral limitations of elevation (in both adduction and abduction). Intraoperatively both medial rectus muscles and both inferior rectus muscles were tight on forced duction testing. Using the relaxed muscle technique for judging the optimum initial position of the tight rectus muscles, we recessed both medial rectus muscles 5 mm and both inferior rectus muscles 7 mm. The inferior rectus muscles also were transposed nasally 7 mm with the aim of reducing an expected exotropia in downgaze and reading position with such large inferior rectus recessions. Scleral bites were taken at the insertion in this case. Adjustable sutures were used on all muscles, and nonabsorbable polyester sutures were used on the inferior rectus muscles to reduce the risk of late overcorrection. Postoperative adjustment required advancing each inferior rectus muscle 2 mm and recessing each medial rectus muscle 3 mm.

Postoperatively, the patient developed an A-pattern exotropia measuring 14° in primary by PACT and 25° in downgaze, with 13° of intorsion in primary position and 18° in downgaze, so further surgery was planned. As described by Del Monte, each inferior oblique muscle was advanced underneath the belly of the lateral rectus muscle and reattached 2 mm above its superior border, 8 mm behind the lateral rectus muscle insertion. Nevertheless, postoperatively the intorsion recurred to 15° and the exodeviation recurred, measuring 20° by PACT in primary position and 30° in downgaze. The patient continued to be troubled by diplopia and further surgery was scheduled.

At the start of the third surgical procedure, routine forced duction testing revealed a slightly tight left superior rectus muscle but no tightness of other rectus muscles. Exaggerated traction testing of the oblique muscles revealed that both superior oblique muscles were tight and both inferior oblique muscles were also tight. Both superior oblique tendons were recessed 12 mm and hung back from a scleral bite at the anterior pole of the original insertion and a scleral bite 3 mm more posterior. The left superior rectus muscle was also recessed 3 mm. In addition, both medial rectus muscles were advanced 4 mm to address the primary position exotropia. Adjustable sutures were used for all muscles, but no adjustment of the vertical or torsional components was required.

Postoperatively, diplopia was much improved, with collapse of the A pattern and no symptomatic torsion. Twenty-two months postoperatively, the patient has been stable with orthophoria at distance in primary position, 2° right hyperphoria, 2° esophoria in upgaze and 2° right hyperphoria in downgaze. There was a 6° esophoria, 2° right hyperphoria at near and 2° vertical prism was prescribed to improve symptoms of occasional vertical diplopia. Measurements with double Maddox rods at 22 months confirmed stability of the torsional correction revealing 1° of extorsion. Our experience with this case influenced our management of the following 2 cases.

**Patient 2**

A 61-year-old woman with thyroid eye disease presented with one and a half years of increasing diplopia. There had been no previous decompression surgery. PACT in the primary position showed 35° of left hypotropia with 12° of esotropia at distance and 30° hypotropia with 6° esotropia at near (Figure 1A). There was 12° of esotropia by PACT in both up- and downgaze. Elevation of the left eye was limited, slightly more in adduction than in abduction, and there were mild limitations of abduction in both eyes (Figure 1A). Double Maddox rod testing showed 4° of extorsion in primary position, which we speculated was less than would be expected in the presence of an isolated, very tight inferior rectus muscle.

Intraoperatively, forced duction testing revealed a very tight left inferior rectus muscle but no tightness of other rectus muscles in either eye. Exaggerated traction testing of the oblique muscles suggested a tight left superior oblique muscle, but we thought that this test result may have been confounded by the tight inferior rectus muscle. The inferior rectus muscle was therefore isolated, imbricated with a 6-0 nonabsorbable polyester suture, and disinserted. On disinsertion of the inferior rectus muscle, marked intorsion of the left eye was noted when we inspected the position of limbal dots preplaced at 12 and 6 o’clock by using a skin-marking pen (Figure 2A and 2B). Forced duction testing was then repeated and elevation found to be markedly improved in abduction but only minimally improved in adduction.

Repeating the exaggerated traction test revealed a markedly tight superior oblique muscle. The superior oblique tendon was therefore recessed 15 mm on an adjustable hang back suture taking scleral bites at the anterior pole of the original insertion and 3 mm more posterior. Using the relaxed muscle technique for judging the optimum initial position of the inferior rectus muscle, we recessed it 7.5 mm on an adjustable polyester suture. The muscle was also simultaneously nasally transposed 5 mm in an attempt to reduce any consecutive exotropia in downgaze.

Scleral bites were taken 2 mm back from the insertion to reduce the amount of hang back that might have reduced the transposition effect. Forced duction and exaggerated traction tests were repeated and found to be normalized and inspection of the preplaced limbal dots showed they had returned to their original 12- and 6 o’clock orientation (Figure 2C), confirming the intorsion had been addressed by recessing the superior oblique tendon. Postoperative adjustment required advancement of the inferior rectus 1 mm.

Seven weeks postoperatively, the patient reported a 90% improvement in diplopia and was orthotropic, with 2° of esophoria by PACT in primary position at distance (4° esophoria in upgaze, 2° esophoria in downgaze; Figure 1B) and 2° of esophoria with 1° of left hyperphoria at near. Double Maddox rod testing showed 1° of intorsion. Elevation was greatly improved compared with preoperatively (Figure 1B). One year after surgery, she reported no diplopia in all positions of gaze and was orthotropic with 8° esophoria and 1° left hypotropia in primary position at distance (8° esophoria in upgaze, 6° esophoria in downgaze) and 2°
exophoria with 1° left hyperphoria at near. There was 2° of extorsion on double Maddox rod testing.

Patient 3
A 64-year-old woman presented with a 9-month history of diplopia. She had been treated for accommodative esotropia as a child but had no previous strabismus surgery. She had been diagnosed with thyroid eye disease 14 years previously but had no previous decompression surgery. Primary position PACT showed a 12° right hypotropia with 2° esotropia at distance and a 12° right hypotropia at near. There was no significant change in the amount of horizontal deviation in upgaze (0°) and downgaze (2° esotropia). Assessment of ductions revealed limitation of upgaze of the right eye both in abduction and adduction. There also was a slight overaction of the right superior oblique muscle. Double Maddox rod testing showed 1° of intorsion, which we felt was inconsistent with isolated inferior rectus muscle tightness where one would expect to find extorsion.\(^3\,^9\) We therefore suspected masked involvement of the superior oblique muscle.

Intraoperatively there was marked tightness of the right inferior rectus muscle on forced duction testing. There was suspected tightness of the right superior oblique muscle on exaggerated traction testing,\(^7\) and after disinsertion of the inferior rectus muscle, elevation of the right eye was improved, especially in abduction, but repeating the exaggerated traction test revealed that the superior oblique muscle was still extremely tight. Also, on inspecting the preplaced limbal dots\(^8\) after disinsertion of the inferior rectus muscle, the right eye had become notably intorted, consistent with tightness of the superior oblique muscle. The superior oblique tendon was therefore recessed 12 mm on an adjustable suture. Forced duction tests and exaggerated traction testing indicated residual tightness of the superior oblique muscle.

Therefore, the superotemporal quadrant was explored and a residual superior oblique tendon fiber was found attached to the sclera just anterior to the superotemporal vortex vein. This strand was carefully cut at its insertion. Repeat exaggerated traction testing revealed complete release of the superior oblique tendon. The previously noted intorsion evident on inspection of the limbal blue dots had resolved. Using the relaxed muscle technique\(^6\) for judging the optimum initial position of the right inferior rectus muscle, we recessed it 3 mm. No postoperative adjustment was needed.

At 8 weeks postoperatively, the patient reported only rare diplopia. In primary position she was orthotropic at distance and at near with orthophoria by PACT at distance (orthophoria

**FIG 1.** Patient 2, showing ocular motility preoperatively (A) and 7 weeks postoperatively (B). The preoperative primary position deviation measured 35° left hypotropia with 12° esotropia at distance by prism and alternate cover test. Left eye elevation was limited, slightly more in adduction than abduction. Postoperatively primary position deviation measured 2° esophoria (4° esophoria in upgaze, 2° esophoria in downgaze) by prism and alternate cover test, with normal elevation of the left eye.
Patient 4

A 61-year-old man presented with constant diplopia after right decompression surgery for thyroid eye disease. Ten months after recession of the right inferior rectus muscle and right medial rectus muscle, the patient had a recurrence of misalignment, reporting horizontal diplopia at distance as the result of recurrent esotropia and adopted a chin elevation head posture for comfortable primary position viewing caused by moderate limitation of elevation of each eye. At distance there was $20^\circ$ esotropia with $2^\circ$ right hypotropia by PACT, with $25^\circ$ esotropia in upgaze and $12^\circ$ esotropia in downgaze. At near there was $6^\circ$ esophoria by PACT, with $5^\circ$ extorsion on Double Maddox Rod testing. Further surgery was scheduled.

Intraoperatively there was marked tightness of both inferior rectus muscles. The right was recessed an additional 2 mm from 7 mm recessed to 9 mm recessed, maintaining previous nasal transposition, and the left was recessed 5 mm with nasal transposition of 5 mm. Both medial rectus muscles, also tight, were recessed. After disinsertion of both inferior rectus and medial rectus muscles, there was no change in the torsional position of the eye on the basis of observation of the preplaced limbal blue dots, and the exaggerated traction test showed no tightness of either superior oblique, therefore no superior oblique recession was performed. No postoperative adjustment was needed.

Eight weeks postoperatively, the patient reported no diplopia and was orthophoric by PACT in primary position at distance with $2^\circ$ exophoria in upgaze and $1^\circ$ esophoria in downgaze. There was $6^\circ$ esophoria at near and $2^\circ$ of extorsion on Double Maddox Rod testing. Elevation of both eyes was markedly improved. This case illustrates that bilateral inferior rectus tightness can be managed with inferior rectus muscle recessions alone when the superior oblique muscles are not tight.

Discussion

Our index case illustrated the problem of postoperative intorsion and A-pattern exotropia after inferior rectus recessions for involved inferior rectus muscles. The intorsion was successfully addressed when we subsequently identified involved superior oblique muscles and performed bilateral superior oblique recessions. Our clinical suspicion for masked superior oblique tightness in thyroid eye disease was then heightened and so we were then able to identify superior oblique muscle involvement in 2 subsequent cases, in which we avoided postoperative intorsion and A-pattern exotropia by addressing superior oblique tightness at the time of the initial surgery. We concluded that the intraoperative finding of masked superior oblique involvement and addressing the tight superior oblique, at the time of the initial strabismus surgery prevented consecutive intorsion. In contrast, patient 4 illustrates that, in the absence of superior oblique tightness, inferior rectus muscle recession may be performed without simultaneous weakening of the superior oblique muscle and without developing postoperative A pattern and extorsion. We propose that clues for masked superior oblique muscle tightness are, first, minimal preoperative extorsion, or

FIG 2. Patient 2, showing intraoperative monitoring of changes in ocular torsion by observing preplaced limbal dots. Lines joining the blue dots are drawn on the figures to aid identification in these photographs. A, limbal dots at 12 and 6 o’clock at the commencement of the procedure. B, after inferior rectus muscle detachment the left eye shows marked intorsion corresponding to a tight superior oblique muscle. C, after left superior oblique tendon recession, the torsion of the left eye returned to baseline.
frank preoperative intorsion, and, second, superior oblique muscle tightness identified intraoperatively by performing the exaggerated traction test after tight rectus muscles have been disinserted.

Superior oblique muscle involvement in thyroid eye disease has been previously described by Goldstein and colleagues,10 Hughes and colleagues,11 and Chatzistefanou and colleagues12 in single case reports and then in a small case series by Thacker and colleagues.13 In Thacker’s 4 cases, superior oblique muscle involvement was evident on preoperative clinical examination by observation of superior oblique muscle overaction and inferior oblique underaction or by noting the presence of intorsion (range, 2°–14°). In addition, Thacker and colleagues15 reported enlargement of the superior oblique muscle using orbital imaging. Our report differs from Thacker and colleagues because in our cases the superior oblique muscle involvement was masked by coexisting ipsilateral inferior rectus muscle involvement. We believe that it is important for the clinician to be aware of the existence of masked superior oblique involvement because failure to identify such involvement may result in intorsion and A-pattern exotropia as illustrated by our first index case.

We suggest that an important clinical clue to the existence of masked superior oblique muscle involvement is the finding of minimal extorsion in the presence of marked inferior rectus muscle tightness. The association of extorsion with inferior rectus tightness has been previously described.3,9,14,15 We suggest that minimal extorsion, or frank intorsion, in the presence of a tight inferior rectus muscle, is an important sign of probable masked superior oblique tightness.

On the basis of the current understanding that intorsion and A-pattern exotropia after inferior rectus muscle recession results from secondary overaction of the superior oblique, Kushner suggested simultaneous superior oblique recessions. Jampolsky16 and Prieto-Díaz and Souza-Dias17 advocated a similar approach, recommending simultaneous superior oblique muscle–weakening procedures when performing inferior rectus muscle recession in thyroid eye disease. It is possible that superior oblique muscle weakening in the context of thyroid eye disease is most effective when the superior oblique muscle is tight. In the absence of a tight superior oblique muscle, Del Monte’s approach of inferior oblique muscle advancement may be more effective in correcting iatrogenic A-pattern exotropia and intorsion.5 We now suggest that it is important to identify whether or not the superior oblique is involved prior to performing superior oblique surgery.

Both our patients 2 and 3 underwent unilateral inferior rectus muscle recessions and therefore might be considered to be at lower risk for developing A-pattern exotropia and intorsion postoperatively.5 Nevertheless, our intraoperative findings, of marked induced intorsion after disinsertion of the inferior rectus, strongly suggest that postoperative intorsion may have been problematic if it had not been addressed simultaneously (Figure 2). Our method8 of routinely placing limbal dots at 12 and 6 o’clock at the commencement of surgery enables monitoring of relative changes in ocular torsion at various stages of the procedure.

We found it important to perform the exaggerated traction test for the superior oblique muscle.7 We suggest it is difficult to interpret the exaggerated traction test in the presence of tight rectus muscles and therefore we recommend repeat testing after disinsertion of tight rectus muscles. Repeating the exaggerated traction test was also found to be of value after disinsertion of the superior oblique in patient 3, where it showed residual tightness, due to residual posterior fibers, which needed to be identified and addressed.

Our study would have been strengthened by preoperative imaging of the superior oblique muscles as described by Thacker and colleagues,13 but we believe our clinical and intraoperative findings are compelling. Our technique of identifying and addressing masked superior oblique tightness has only been performed on 2 patients so far, but we believe these case reports are instructive. An additional weakness is that we have only applied our findings to unilateral cases, so the effectiveness of our approach in bilateral cases remains to be explored, although we strongly suspect the same principles apply.

Patients with thyroid eye disease who have tight inferior rectus muscles should be carefully evaluated for possible masked superior oblique muscle involvement by the use of intraoperative monitoring of torsion and exaggerated traction testing of the superior oblique. Identifying and treating coexisting superior oblique involvement may prevent the development of postoperative A-pattern exotropia and intorsion in patients with strabismus due to thyroid eye disease.
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An Eye on the Arts—The Arts on the Eye

What it had come to was that he wore a mask painted with the social simper, out of the eye-holes of which there looked eyes of an expression not in the least matching the other features. This the stupid world, even after years, had never more than half discovered. It was only May Bartram who had, and she achieved, by an art indescribable, the feat of at once—or perhaps it was only alternately—meeting the eyes from in front and mingling her own vision, as from over his shoulder, with their peep through the apertures.